

Appendix 1

Committee	Comments of Committee	Update
<p>General Purposes and Licensing Committee</p> <p>24th June 2009</p>	<p>(1) DLDCS to identify non-executive functions</p> <p>(2) All PDS Committees and DC Committee to examine the relevant sections of the Scheme and question –</p> <p>(i) whether matters should be delegated;</p> <p>(ii) if so, should there be prior notification of intention to exercise the delegation;</p> <p>(iii) should the matter be reported after the fact;</p> <p>(iv) can the report wait until an annual review.</p> <p>(3) PP&S delegations be amended to include Sections 28 and 29 of the Regulation of Investigatory Powers Act.</p> <p>(4) PP&S delegations (7), (9), (10), (11) (22) and (24) be clarified and updated where necessary.</p> <p>(5) Environment Delegations (91) and (92) be amended by the addition of reference to the statutory list of maintained highways and rights of way.</p> <p>(6) Any changes proposed to the Scheme be reported to GP&L Committee prior to submission to full Council.</p>	<p>Executive and non-executive delegations are identified in the current scheme.</p> <p>This has been done twice, in July/August 2009 and March/April 2010.</p> <p>Amended in latest Scheme.</p> <p>Amended in latest Scheme.</p> <p>Amended in latest Scheme.</p> <p>This report was considered by GP&L Committee on 7th April 2010</p>
<p>Public Protection and Safety PDS Committee</p> <p>6th July 2009</p>	<p>Noted and asked officers to report back in March 2010.</p>	<p>-</p>

Committee	Comments of Committee	Update
<p>Executive and Resources PDS Committee</p> <p>7th July 2009</p>	<p>Resources delegation (1) – Members sought more involvement in selection of senior staff.</p> <p>A number of Resources delegations were identified as possibly redundant – (25) Bromley town centre redevelopment; (49) GLC seaside estates and Beckenham fire station; and (50) single regeneration budgets.</p> <p>All delegations need to be clearly recorded so that Members can scrutinise them as necessary.</p>	<p>Regulations from 2001 prevent Member involvement below deputy chief officer level.</p> <p>These delegations are being checked and will be deleted from the final scheme as necessary.</p> <p>PDS Committees may make arrangements to scrutinise the exercise of delegations within their portfolios.</p>
<p>Renewal and Recreation PDS Committee</p> <p>8th July 2009</p>	No comments made.	-
<p>Children and Young People PDS Committee</p> <p>13th July 2009</p>	No comments made.	-
<p>Environment PDS Committee</p> <p>14th July 2009</p>	Deferred pending the identification of executive and non-executive functions and consultation with ward Members.	Executive and non-executive delegations have been identified in the latest Scheme – as previously, the Scheme has been circulated to all Members.
<p>Adult and Community PDS Committee</p> <p>15th July 2009</p>	No comments made.	-
<p>Development Control Committee</p> <p>28th July 2009</p>	No comments made.	-

Committee	Comments of Committee	Update
Development Control Committee 16 th March 2010	No comments made.	-
Public Protection and Safety PDS Committee 17 th March 2010	No comments made.	-
Executive & Resources PDS Committee 24 th March 2010	Comment from one Member that (i) there are too many delegations attributable to both executive/non-executive sides, and these should be split up to show which part of each delegation relates to each side, and (ii) more delegations should require consultation with Portfolio Holders.	(i) Most delegations are clearly either executive or non-executive, but it is necessary to attribute some delegations to both. (ii) Officers can go beyond the requirements of the Scheme in briefing Members where this is requested.
Children and Young People PDS Committee 25 th March 2010	No comments made.	-
Environment PDS Committee 6 th April 2010	Committee supported the following changes to Environment delegations – (67) Delegation relating to the restricted area of Orpington High Street is redundant and should be deleted. <i>(Note: This affects the numbering of subsequent delegations – the new numbering is used below.)</i>	These changes have been made in the latest Scheme.

Committee	Comments of Committee	Update
	<p>(82) Amend to refer to installation <i>and removal</i> of traffic islands and refuges, and add that delegation is subject to consultation with ward Members.</p> <p>(86) Amend to refer to introduction <i>or amendment</i> of waiting and loading restrictions, subject in addition to consultation with the Portfolio Holder, and to introduce a £5,000 threshold.</p> <p>The Vice-Chairman of the Committee proposed that a number of other issues should be considered, and the Committee agreed that these should be looked at –</p> <p>More environment delegations should be subject to consultation with Ward Members as follows –</p> <p>(18) overhanging trees and hedges;</p> <p>(36) minor improvements of highways and sewers;</p> <p>(39) to (42) public footpaths;</p> <p>(62) minor improvement schemes;</p> <p>(66) town centre parades;</p> <p>(81) school keep clear markings;</p> <p>(88) street works;</p> <p>(93) white bar road markings;</p> <p>(108) to (121) parks and commons</p> <p>CP (12)? Suggestion that the Rights of Way Sub-Committee should consider this delegation.</p> <p>DES (15) Suggestion that this should be clarified to indicate what was being contemplated for delegation.</p>	<p>The changes relating to additional consultation with ward Members are not reflected in the latest Scheme, pending further consideration by the Leader and other Members.</p> <p>For consideration by Rights of Way Sub-Committee when a meeting is arranged.</p> <p>-</p>

Committee	Comments of Committee	Update
	<p>DES (38) Implication in the current text that all new streets would be adopted for maintenance at public expense – instead it was suggested that this should be a matter for consideration by the Portfolio Holder.</p> <p>DES (94) In respect of conveying comments on the Council’s behalf in response to consultation documents, it was suggested that this should also be subject to notifying the PDS Chairman where notice of the consultation had been received – if no notice has been received it was suggested that the Portfolio Holder should be approached directly as considered appropriate.</p> <p>Further clarification should be given on what comprised an Executive function and what was a Council function.</p>	<p>This change is not reflected in the latest Scheme, pending further consideration by the Leader and other Members.</p> <p>This change is not reflected in the latest Scheme, pending further consideration by the Leader and other Members.</p> <p>(See E&R PDS comments above.)</p>
<p>General Purposes and Licensing Committee</p> <p>7th April 2010</p>	<p>The Committee requested that they be e-mailed the amended Scheme before final publication to enable them to make final comments.</p>	<p>The draft Scheme was e-mailed to 2009/10 GP&L Committee Members as requested.</p>
<p>Renewal and Recreation PDS Committee</p> <p>13th April 2010</p>	<p>Individual Members made the following comments -</p> <p>Part II, 1(xiii) - It was proposed that this delegation, relating to the approval of trips within EU countries on Council business, was unnecessary.</p> <p>R&R delegations (2) and (9) - It was suggested that the references to gramophone records and library school were out of date.</p>	<p>It is recommended that this delegation is retained.</p> <p>The wording has been changed in the latest Scheme.</p>

Committee	Comments of Committee	Update
Adult and Community Services PDS Committee 14 th April 2010	No comments made.	-